Room for Debate

WORLD U.S. N.Y. / REGION BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY SCIENCE HEALTH SPORTS OPINION ARTS STYLE TRAVEL JOBS REAL ESTATE AUTOS

Advertise on NY Times.com

« Room for Debate Home Facebook Twitter

Better Engineering, and Better Laws

4

Aleecia M. McDonald is the director of privacy at the Center for Internet and Society at Stanford Law School.

Updated September 8, 2013, 7:01 PM

It's a noisy, nosy world. For example, our cellphones are nonstop tracking devices that occasionally make calls — and yet we would be lost without their maps. Our shoes can tell hundreds of our closest Facebook friends about our latest jog. Tiny RFID tags, embedded in many objects and devices, have unique IDs that they blurt out to any radio signal that asks. New cars not only phone information back to car makers, but also to other cars. Vehicular communication improves safety but adds new risks that a database of everywhere you drive could become available to hackers, police or insurance companies. We are at the cusp of big changes — good and bad.

We have learned the hard way that we cannot trust companies or governments to show restraint in collecting our data.

The idea of devices chatting away to one another is both radically cool and rightly concerning. Most people want what a data-driven future can provide, but we have learned the hard way that we cannot trust companies or governments to exercise basic decency and restraint in collecting our data. Lack of trust hampers adoption of potentially useful technologies, including California's decision last week to halt plans for RFID in drivers' licenses.

How can we have smart devices while preserving our core rights to privacy? First, the key is to include privacy and security from the very start while designing products and components. This way we can use technology without technology using us. Second, we already use firewalls and other approaches to limit who can reach our desktop computers. We could engineer similar technical intermediaries for our new devices. Third, privacy tools should be as simple to use as products themselves. Finally, it is rare for technology to entirely solve the challenges technology creates, so we need new privacy laws that are savvy and wise. There is much work to do, but we can build an awesome future without trading away our human need for privacy.

Join Room for Debate on Facebook and follow updates on twitter.com/roomfordebate.

Topics: Internet, Technology, privacy





When Government Joins the Internet of Things Brett Goldstein

15 Comments

Share your thoughts.

tom midwest

If one thinks about it, most data collection requires an opt out by the user to not collect data. The default option should be opt in where the business who is so interested in you has to personally ask you for the privilege. It would be easy to change the laws for everything from junk mail in your mailbox (the first spam before computers) to anything else. I grant that one "opts in" when one uses an online service but today many websites (who want to keep my business or visitation) asks me to opt in. Those businesses that do not lose my business. Vote with your feet or your money. It works for me.

Sept. 9, 2013 at 1:16 p.m. REPLY RECOMMEND

Susannah France

Laws do not stop crime nor indeed any activity that a person is insistent to do. As well, a law that might protect me in France may not protect me when I return for a visit to the USA. Privacy here is more protected than in the USA but that doesn't stop

1 of 3

the USA from inquiring about all sorts of things. Have you read FACTA? I want to retain my American citizenship and at the same time I am tired of being treated as if I have criminal intent simply because I live in France. FACTA is not going to force me to divorce my husband, the best in the world, and move back to the USA just because it is a books of laws. But that is beside the point, isn't it? No. Pass a law and then find the money to enforce it on people who never intend to recognize its validity. Where is that money suppose to come from? The food stamp program?

You need to write an amendment of citizens' rights to privacy. It needs to pass and become the 28th Admendment of the Constitution. Good luck doing that with a red Congress.

Sept. 9, 2013 at 12:18 p.m. REPLY RECOMMEND

READ MORE COMMENTS

Privacy, When Your Shoes Track Every Step

As more and more everyday objects are connected to the Internet, how can we minimize the privacy risks? Read More »

Debaters

Better Engineering, and Better Laws



Aleecia M. McDonald, Center for Internet and Society
When Government Joins the Internet of Things



Brett Goldstein, former chief data officer, Chicago Minimizing Risk Is Easy: Adopt a Bill of Rights





Limor Fried, engineer, Adafruit Industries





Michael Chui, McKinsey Global Institute

From Regulators, Guidance and Enforcement



Julie Brill, commissioner, Federal Trade Commission

Informed Consumers Will Use Less Energy



Tim Woods, consultant, Poco Labs

Sales Pitches From Your Refrigerator



Ryan Calo, University of Washington School of Law

Advertise on NYTimes.com

Related Discussions

Can Free Speech and Internet Filters Co-Exist?

With the goal of being "family friendly," is the Internet becoming too censored?

2 of 3 9/9/2013 1:57 PM

Where Can a Fugitive Hide?

If you were a fugitive from the American government, where would you go? Off the grid? Or to a sympathetic anti-American regime? **Streaming the Small Screen**

With streaming services competing with Hollywood and media networks, how will the concept of "television" evolve—if at all? Can the Human Blueprint Have Owners?

Should companies be allowed to patent genes?



Recent Discussions



New York City's Public Education Challenges



Can Iran Help the U.S. in Syria?



When Movies Trade on Real Life



How to Make New York City More Livable



Should Students Live on Campus or Off?

Home World U.S. N.Y. / Region	Business Technolog	gy Science H	ealth Sports	Opinion	Arts Style	<u>Travel</u> <u>Jobs</u>	Real Estate	Autos Back to Top
© 2012 The New York Times Co. Privacy	Your Ad Choices	Terms of Service	Corrections	RSS	First Look	Help Contact Us	Work for Us	Advertise Site Map

3 of 3 9/9/2013 1:57 PM